

Buildout Reduction Program Citizens' Committee (BRPCC)

REGULAR MEETING Monday, August 28, 2017 - 10:00 AM 2850 Burton Drive Cambria CA 93428

AGENDA

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ESTABLISH QUORUM
- C. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

1. PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may now address the Committee on any item of interest within the jurisdiction of the Committee but not on its agenda today. In compliance with the Brown Act, the Committee cannot discuss or act on items not on the agenda. Each speaker has up to three minutes. Speaker slips (available at the entry) should be submitted to the District Clerk.

2. REGULAR BUSINESS

- A. Consideration to Approve the Minutes from the Regular Meeting held on August 14, 2017
- B. Discussion and Update of the Buildout Reduction Report
- C. Discussion Regarding the Estimated Cost of Maintenance of Acquired Undeveloped Properties

3. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

4. ADJOURN



Buildout Reduction Program Citizens' Committee

(BRPCC)

REGULAR MEETING Monday, August 14, 2017 - 10:00 AM 2850 Burton Drive Cambria CA 93428

MINUTES

A. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Siegler called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m.

B. ESTABLISH QUORUM

A quorum was established.

Committee Members Present: Ted Siegler, Crosby Swartz, Laura Swartz, Jerry McKinnon, Mark Rochefort, Bob Sfarzo, Greg Hunter, Cindy Steidel

Committee Members Absent: Mel McColloch, Allison Groves

CCSD Staff Present: Haley Dodson, Confidential Administrative Assistant

C. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Chairman Siegler discussed the Chairman's Report handout (attached). There was a claim that the CCSD had established more than 100 residential connections during the moratorium. The conclusion was 168 fewer connections than would have been expected, based on the North Coast Area Plan data reported from the end of 2002.

Committee Member Rochefort suggests this information be provided in the BRP report.

Public Comment: Jim Bahringer

1. PUBLIC COMMENT

Committee Member Crosby Swartz stated he received a copy of the County residential wait list. He stated the committee should establish a policy on how they will handle additional connections above and beyond the current waitlist.

Committee Member Rochefort suggested addressing the County wait list in the BRP report. Our recommendation could be that the CCSD solely and exclusively controls who receives water connections in Cambria.

Committee Member Laura Swartz stated they owners paid a fee to be on the County wait list. The County wait list also has a parcel in Special Project Area 1.

2. REGULAR BUSINESS

A. Consideration to Approve the Minutes from the Regular Meeting held on July 24, 2017

Committee Member Hunter stated under 2D it states he met with Carlos Mendoza and Jerry Gruber, but it was Committee Member Rochefort and Committee Member McColloch.

Committee Member Crosby Swartz motioned to approve the minutes as amended.

Committee Member Steidel seconded the motion.

Motion was approved unanimously.

B. Discussion and Consideration of Proposal by Deryl Robinson

Chairman Siegler read the proposal (attached) and gave an overview of Deryl Robinson's proposal.

The committee reviewed and discussed the proposal.

Public Comment: Jim Bahringer

C. Discussion and Update of the Buildout Reduction Report

The committee reviewed, discussed, and revised the draft BRP report.

Committee Member McKinnon would like to know where the annual Wait List Fee money is held, as it's referenced on page 24 of the draft BRP report, as each Wait List position is preserved by payment of an Annual Wait List Fee, which is billed in July of each year.

Committee Member Rochefort volunteered to research the information he's requesting.

Committee Member Crosby Swartz passed out a Draft Organizational Options handout (attached).

Committee Member Laura Swartz passed out a TDC's For All New Development handout (attached). Chairman Siegler stated he's forwarded this information to District Counsel and we need to find out if it's legal.

D. Discussion Regarding the Estimated Cost of Maintenance of Acquired Undeveloped Properties

3. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Chairman Siegler stated it's time to start polishing the BRP report language and he'd like to work with Committee Member Rochefort on this. We won't change content but address items that we talked about today. We need to make a final recommendation and seek whether there is a continued role for the BRP committee members.

4. ADJOURN

Committee Member Rochefort motioned to adjourn the meeting.

Committee Member Crosby Swartz seconded the motion.

The committee unanimously agreed to adjourn the meeting at 12:15 p.m.

The next BRPCC meeting will be on Monday, August 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.

Chairman Siegler asked Haley Dodson to poll the committee members for alternate meeting days and times, so we can have alternate days and times for future meetings.

BUILDOUT REDUCTION PROGRAM CITIZENS' COMMITTEE Chairman's Report August 14, 2017

At the meeting on July 24th, we discussed the difficulty reconciling data impacting the Buildout Reduction Program. There was a claim that the CCSD had established more than 100 residential connections over what was anticipated during the moratorium. That claim is inconsistent with the baseline reported in the North Coast Area Plan, as shown in the table below:

| | Current CCSD* | NCAP** | Difference |
|----------------|---------------|--------|------------|
| Reported | | | |
| Connections | 3,766 | 3,812 | |
| Pipeline | | 165 | |
| Outstanding | 7 | | |
| Grandfathered | 36 | | |
| Total Expected | 3,809 | 3,977 | (168) |

* Data from the BRPCC Draft Report

The conclusion from this table is that there are 168 FEWER connections, either active or in process, than would have been expected based on the North Coast Area Plan data reportedly from the end of 2002.

I do not intend by this report to argue with data I haven't seen. Rather I will point out that our Committee deals with conflicting data that cannot be reconciled satisfactorily. While that is frustrating, I don't believe the unreconciled differences would cause us to approach the problem of Buildout Reduction differently.

- Ted Siegler

^{**} Excerpt from the North Coast Area Plan, page 2-6::During the CCSD Board of Director's July 24, 2003 meeting, a motion by the Board confirmed a maximum of 4,650 connections as the ultimate buildout of Cambria. This total was based on 3,812 existing connections as of the end of 2002 plus 165 connections in process at that time, plus 670 future connections from the CCSD wait list. The CCSD's Buildout Reduction Plan will include an implementation program to permanently retire lots so that the maximum buildout will match their identified goal of 4,650 dwelling units. Based on historic population rates for Cambria, this would result in a population between 7,724 and 10,469 people.

Proposal by Deryl Robinson

I wanted to get in touch though with another idea I've had about how to eliminate potential future building sites in Cambria and reduce the number of vacant parcels. It goes as follows:

CCSD forms a non-profit public benefit special purpose entity. I will call it the Corporation. The corporation acquires small parcels in groups, remaps them into a single larger parcel, places a water commitment on them and resells them on the open market as larger parcels with water and development rights. This ought to be done at a profit. Plow back all the profits into doing more. There are a number of ways this could be done, and details involved in how it would work. Here are a few of them.

- 1. First and foremost, the existing CCSD water wait list (WWL) has to be honored. Any water service commitments have to come off that list. That is something that UnLOC will insist upon.
- 2. Find opportunities where there is a WWL lot adjacent to multiple non-waitlisted lots. Acquire all the lots, remap them into one parcel, and resell.
- 3. Acquire any group of non-waitlisted lots, remap them into one parcel. Acquire any WWL lot. Move the wait list number to the new parcel, retiring the donor lot. Resell the new lot with the WWL number.
- 4. Enhance the language in the WWL positions that come with these lots to make it a more solid commitment for future water service.
- 5. Make sure any other development obstacles on the new lots are cleared, such as protected trees in the way of building, etc.
- 6. Work with the county to make sure the new parcels are certified and entitlements are fully vested.
- 7. Make the new lots large, estate sized properties that qualify to have large homes built on them per the current approved planning standards.
- 8. Obviously, the best opportunity to do this and make money would be to buy the WWL lots now, before the moratorium is lifted. So I would get on it ASAP and buy up all the available WWL numbers.

Would you put this in front of your committee and see if there is any interest?

DRAFT ORGANIZATIONAL OPTIONS -- BRP Changes from previous draft are underlined.

* Organizational Structures to Acquire and Manage Undeveloped Properties

The CCSD is the lead agency for the 2008 Water Master Plan and the current Sustainable Water Facility Project. In accordance with Mitigation Measure PHG-1 for the Water Master Plan the CCSD has the ultimate responsibility to ensure funding and administration to retire and maintain <u>undeveloped</u> parcels as required by the Buildout Reduction Program. <u>The CCSD can delegate</u> specified BRP administrative tasks to other organizations. The processing of property purchases could be contracted to a land conservation organization. These organizations have experience in contacting potential sellers, negotiation of the terms of sale, recording title and deed restrictions to retire and conservation <u>easements</u>.

It is recommended the CCSD activate it's power to acquire and maintain property as mitigation for a district project in accordance with Govt Codes 61000 to 61250.

The CCSD is currently managing the Lot Merger Program. Under this program, the CCSD publicizes the benefits of merging lots, provides assistance to the property owner to submit the required documents to the county, and provides partial reimbursement for the county processing fees. It is recommended that the Lot Merger Program be continued. To maintain the effectiveness of the Lot Merger Program, the County should maintain a policy of discouraging future separation of previously merged parcels.

CCSD Municipal Code Section 8.04.100 requires that when a water meter or water wait list position is transferred from one parcel to another, the sending parcel is retired by recording a a deed restriction, and the receiving parcels are merged. It is recommended that this requirement be continued. To encourage preservation of important forest and habitat resources, an additional incentive is recommended if the retired parcel has been designated "high conservation value" by the current Vacant Parcel Assessment Report.

An essential part of the BRP is the purchase and retirement of <u>undeveloped</u> parcels from property owners who voluntarily offer parcels for sale. The administrative tasks related to this part of the BRP are substantial. They include arranging and managing the funding for the program, promotion of the program to owners of <u>undeveloped</u> parcels, negotiation of the terms of each sale, investigation of ownership and title issues and other documentation required, and recordation of the required deed restriction. <u>An annual inspection and report is recommended to document</u> <u>compliance with all deed restrictions.</u>

(moved to first paragraph)

The cost and management requirements necessary to maintain the retired parcels would be proportional to the maintenance of existing CCSD owned open space properties, adjusted for the added parcels. It is recommended that a maintenance advisory board be established to provide <u>forest management</u> expertise and oversight. The CCSD PROS Commission could be tasked with this function. Another alternative would be to request assistance from the Friends of the Fiscalini Ranch Preserve Board with this function.

Another organizational option is to establish an independent Open Space District to acquire and

maintain <u>undeveloped</u> parcels. An Open Space District is a governmental agency, with powers similar to a Community Service District but with a single purpose and board expertise. <u>Establishment of an Open Space District is recommended in the North Coast Area Plan. Quoting from the NCAP: "As one component of a larger build-out reduction program, the County, CCSD, the community of Cambria, and other stakeholders should work together to establish an Open Space District to begin purchasing and maintaining many of the small and substandard lots in Cambria" (p 6-10).</u>

Open Space Districts are formed by voter initiative in accordance with California Public Resources Code Sections 5500 - 5595. <u>A petition of 10% of resident voters is required to place the proposed</u> <u>district on the ballot</u>. <u>A majority vote is required to establish an Open Space District</u>. <u>A 2/3 vote is</u> <u>required for typical district funding methods</u>. LAFCO approval is required for open space district formation.

The Open Space District's sole purpose is acquistion and maintenance of undeveloped parcels for the benefit of the community and to satisfy Mitigation Measure PHG-1. As an independent organization, the OSD directors and manager do not have conflicting responsibilities competing for time and funding as could happen within the CCSD. Funding for the Open Space District is similar to the funding described for the CCSD managed Buildout Reduction Program. A Joint Powers Agreement defines the division of responsibilities between the CCSD and the OSD for funding, administration and parcel maintenance.

When the parcel acquisition goals of the Buildout Reduction Program have been achieved, the Open Space District will continue to manage and maintain OSD and CCSD open space properties.

The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo has managed a land conservation program in the Lodge Hill area for many years using funds from the sale of Transfer Development Credits authorized by the County. This program could be expanded to cover other areas in Cambria. The pace of parcel acquisition under this program is limited by available funding from the sale of TDCs, but could be accelerated by a new negotiated funding agreement with the CCSD. The Land Conservancy transfers ownership of the conserved parcels to the CCSD or Open Space District, who becomes responsible for routine maintenance. This program pursues tax deductable parcel donations and land conservation grants, in addition to purchases using funds from the sale of Transfer Development Credits.

The County Board of Supervisors can revise the Transfer Development Credits Program to accomplish the goals of the Buildout Reduction Program by requiring that TDCs are purchased for each square foot of new residential development in Cambria. The cost to each property owner developing a parcel could be less than other funding options. The administrative requirements would be the same as the existing TDC Program and would be handled by the LCSLO. There would be no interest costs and funds would be collected from all new residential development including parcels not currently on the Water Wait List. The cost to each property owner building a new house on a parcel would be proportional to the size (Gross Structural Area) of each project. To accomplish the intent of the existing TDC Program, the cost per TDC for square footage of new construction in excess of standard limits should be higher than for construction within standard limits.

The requirement to purchase TDC's for each square foot of new development should be applied to new commercial development as well, to share the costs of the Buildout Reduction Program proportionately between new residential and commercial projects.

TDC'S FOR ALL NEW DEVELOPMENT - ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY WORKSHEET

AUGUST 14, 2017 L. Swartz

TDC cost recently raised from \$15 to \$25. \$15 was in effect since 1984 for all remodels and new construction exceeding specified square footage limits.

The County currently is expanding the TDC Program to include additional areas of Cambria. Acceptable, except for statement that parcels in Special Project Area 1 can be receiver sites for TDC's.

A new proposed TDC concept, not part of the County's expansion proposal, is to add a requirement to use TDC's for <u>all new construction</u>, starting from the first square foot.

It is a proportional requirement, based on the gross structural area (GSA) of each project.

Using North Coast Area Plan Standards for Building Sites (pages 7-60 and 7-61), maximum GSA varies from 900 to 2600 sq ft. To estimate new demand for TDC's, assume 2000 sq ft per house times 800 new houses constructed.

2000 x 800 = 1,600,000 TDC's needed.

| Total Revenue: | 1,600,000 TDC | 1,600,000 TDC | 1,600,000 TDC |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <u>× \$10 / TDC</u> | <u>x \$12 / TDC</u> | <u>x \$15 / TDC</u> |
| | \$16,000,000 | \$19,200,000 | \$24,000,000 |
| Cost per Project: | 2000 sq ft | 2000 sq ft | 2000 sq ft |
| | <u>x \$10 / sq ft</u> | <u>x \$12 / sq ft</u> | <u>x \$15 / sq ft</u> |
| | \$20,000 | \$24,000 | \$30,000 |

A 900 sq ft house would require 900 TDC's costing \$9000 (at \$10 / TDC).

GSA exceeding specified limits would be covered by the existing TDC Program and prices.

Using BRPCC estimate of 164 acres to be retired, times 43,580 sq ft per acre, there would be 7,147,120 TDC's available. Although not all eligible parcels will be retired by the TDC Program, the supply of TDC's appears to be adequate to support the additional demands of this new requirement.

New commercial construction should be included in BRP funding allocations.

Assuming 2000 sq ft average project times \$12 / TDC times 140 projects = \$3,360,000 revenue to BRP

Square feet of construction = Water use

BRP should include all new development in funding plan including:

Water Wait List Numbers (including County Wait List?) Grandfathered meters Pending Connections Affordable Housing Multifamily Unallocated Connections

Expanding TDC requirement to all new development could generate an additional \$19,360,000 to \$27,360,000 over the course of the program. This matches or exceeds the revenues projected for other BRP funding proposals from new construction projects.

Cambria Buildout Reduction Program Report Draft

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[Insert]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

[Insert]

INTRODUCTION

Cambria is an unincorporated community located on the North Coast of San Luis Obispo County, California with a population of approximately 6,000. The population grew rapidly from 2,100 in 1970 to over 5,900 in 1990. Since that time, the population reached a peak of 6,400 in 2000 and has declined slightly since then. The primary determinant over the last two decades of population stability is the town's limited resources – most notably potable water and wastewater treatment. The Cambria Community Services District (CCSD) provides Cambria with water, wastewater treatment and fire protection.

California's coastline is a uniquely valuable resource that, by the Coastal Act, is to be protected for and accessible by the citizens of the state. For this reason, coastal development is a particularly sensitive issue. Achieving a balance between growth and no growth is a community value that has dominated Cambria's local politics for decades.

In recognition of the community's limited resources, the CCSD implemented a cap of 4,650 residential water connections when it adopted its Water Master Plan (WMP) in 2008. While that cap drives limits to population growth in this small community on California's coastline, it fails to address an important issue. The limit leaves approximately 1,377 undeveloped parcels that will never receive services within Cambria's urban boundaries. The CCSD's Buildout Reduction Program (BRP) report, which was developed in 2006, addressed the goal of limiting growth by defining means to acquire undeveloped <u>residential</u> property beyond the 4,650 cap and retire it from future development. It is beyond the scope of this report to speculate on legal claims by property owners related to possible rights to future service. However, the likelihood that property owners will attempt to assert what they perceive to be their rights is a risk that the community cannot ignore. The BRP will provide an opportunity to those property owners to sell undeveloped land for a fair price.

Beyond risk mitigation, the BRP provides opportunity to stakeholders in Cambria's present and future to preserve the community's small-town culture and preserve open space. Among other things, preserving these undeveloped 1,377 parcels will protect rare pine forest, provide open space between developed properties, and maintain important view-sheds.

The following report is the result of the efforts of a Citizens' Committee that the CCSD formed in April 2016. The committee's task was to review and revise the original BRP. Pursuant to its task, the committee reviewed and updated the baseline data underlying the assumptions in the report, estimated the cost of the BRP, and investigated various means to fund the program. We believe the report outlines a practical and achievable means to accomplish the community's goals. However, the program is not free, and it will depend on the community accepting a reasonable financial burden to maintain the small-town, coastal character of Cambria. Assuming full implementation, the committee believes Cambria will remain the community of Pines by the Sea as far as we can see into the future.

HISTORY OF THE BUILDOUT REDUCTION PROGRAM

• Lot Retirement: A Cambria Tradition

Before summarizing the key features of the 2006 BRP program, it is important to note that Cambrians have long embraced the concept of buildout reduction as one way to maintain the character and resources of our town.

Even before the advent of the BRP, local and regional agencies, organizations, businesses and residents used buildout reduction to control unreasonable population growth and protect the local character of the community. For example, the Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County (LCSLOC) was formed in 1984 to preserve open space and natural habitats by acquiring land and applying conservation easements. By collaborating with the CCSD under the original BRP¹ and as administrator of the Transfer Development Credit (TDC) program,² the LCSLOC has sponsored projects in Cambria such as the Fern Canyon Preserve and protection of creek sides, forest and meadowlands along Leffingwell Creek. Since 1984, the LCSLOC has retired 350 parcels in Fern Canyon and along Highway 1. Similarly, since 1988 Greenspace, The Cambria Land Trust has purchased land to preserve open space and create pocket parks throughout our town.

The most significant single buildout reduction project to date, however, occurred in 2000 when a coalition including the American Land Conservancy, the State Coastal Conservancy, San Luis Obispo County Parks, the CCSD, Cal Trans and local and regional businesses and residents purchased the Fiscalini Ranch creating a 430 acre preserve in perpetuity to benefit local residents and the public at large.

• The Original 2006 BRP

The BRP can trace its origin to 2001 when the CCSD declared a Water Code 350 stage 1 emergency. followed by a moratorium on all new water connections. Since that time, development and growth have been essentially on hold.

¹ The LCSLOC acts as the holder of conservation easements on 162 parcels acquired by the CCSD pursuant to the BRP.

 $^{^{2}}$ In 1985 the LCSLOC was selected as the implementing nonprofit agency required to operate the TDC program which retires parcels in environmentally sensitive areas and sells development credits to builders in less sensitive areas to construct homes otherwise not permitted.

At the time of the moratorium, the CCSD planned to remedy the water shortage by building a desalination plant. Plans to build such a plant have been resurrected many times since. However, the community was unable to muster the political will, development permits and other resources necessary to accomplish the planned project. The Water Master Plan and associated Program-Level Environmental Impact Report (PEIR), adopted in 2008, assumed construction of a desalination facility. The original BRP was incorporated in the PEIR as mitigation for growth inducing impacts of an enhanced water supply.

The 2006 BRP laid out a program to retire or merge enough potential building sites so that the remaining number of such sites within CCSD's service area nearly match the 4650 cap on existing and new residential water connections established by the CCSD's Water Master Plan. Relying on existing growth restriction ordinances and other assumptions, the BRP report forecasted that the foregoing match would be achieved within approximately twenty-two (22) years once the program was fully implemented.

The BRP report provided two methods for retiring building sites in Cambria: voluntary merger of adjacent parcels and purchase of undeveloped parcels. The voluntary merger program provided a relatively modest budget (up to \$800 per transaction) to assist property owners to merge adjacent parcels which should reduce the number of building sites from two or more to one. The voluntary merger program has proven to be quite successful; between 2007 and June 2016 there were 298 merger transactions reported, resulting in 327 fewer parcels for development.

The funding for purchase of undeveloped parcels for retirement under the original BRP was to come from four proposed revenue streams:

(1) increased fees for new water meter connections;

(2) water rate increases for existing CCSD commercial and residential customers;

(3) fees for remodels; and,

(4) the sale of sixty-five (65) unallocated water connections at "market prices" at the rate of three sales per year for the anticipated twenty-two-year term of the program.

Unfortunately, these proposed revenue streams proved to be either impractical or inconsistent with state public financing statutes and laws.

• The BRP has been an Essential Part of Cambria's Water Planning

The BRP has become an integral part of Cambria's long-term population growth and water planning process. It is an important element to achieve the CCSD's cap of 4650 residential water connections to mitigate potential for growth-inducing impacts proposed in the Program-Level Environmental Impact Report supporting CCSD's 2008 Water Master Plan (i.e. the increased water supply and availability contemplated by the WMP).

The 4650 cap on residential water connections and the BRP are also referenced in the August 24, 2008 Revised San Luis Obispo County North Coast Area Plan and, most recently, in the Draft November 28, 2016 Cambria Community Services District Urban Water Management Plan. As noted above, the Cambria Sustainable Water Facility Project Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report includes the original BRP (as it may be revised by the CCSD pursuant to the Committee's recommendations) as the principal mitigation measure to address potential growth-inducing impacts of the Sustainable Water Facility.

• The BRP Going Forward

As of late 2013, no supplemental water plant had been built but due to extreme drought, the CCSD authorized construction of an Emergency Water Supply project (EWS) that converted low concentration brine (a combination of highly treated wastewater, under-stream flows of fresh water and salt water) to drinking water. Currently, as of 2017, the CCSD is seeking regular development and operating permits to re-characterize the EWS to a Sustainable Water Facility (SWF). By reducing dependence on the community's two natural aquifers³ for water during dry periods, the SWF would allow the CCSD to issue intent-to-serve letters permitting new water connections, eventually allowing the community to grow to its maximum of 4,650 residential connections. This would result in a population of between 7,700 and 10,500 once the town's maximum buildout is complete.

One of the requirements of applying for a regular development permit for the SWF is production of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR). Because Cambria is in the Coastal Zone, any development that may promote growth is subject to intense scrutiny. Accordingly and concurrent with drafting and finalizing the SEIR, the CCSD convened a new Citizens' Committee to review and revise the BRP, as mitigation of any growth inducing impacts from the SWF. The objective is to assure that the BRP is legal and practical and that the steps for implementation are clearly identified. An effective plan and implementation will comport with the maze of regulations dealing with population growth in a coastal community.

Limited growth has the effect of denying development opportunities to some property owners. While the BRP Citizens' Committee is not qualified to speculate on legal issues associated with such loss of development opportunity the committee does recognize that some property owners may believe they have a cause of action. This becomes a risk to our community. One reason to develop a BRP is to provide property owners a market for their properties and upon acquisition the CCSD will be able to retire properties from future development. This may not be a perfect solution to a complex problem. However, for a government entity like the CCSD without landuse authority, it is a practical approach.

From the perspective of Cambria's residents, the BRP has the salutary effect of creating open space throughout the community. The program provides an opportunity to preserve forest, maintain view-shed and provide separation between developed properties. These benefits of open space are inherent to the current character of Cambria, and values that most residents want to preserve. They promote the values of the Coastal Act and the County's plans and make our community desirable as both a place to visit and a place to live.

³ San Simeon and Santa Rosa Creeks

• The Committee's Work

On April 8, 2016 the CCSD Board reconstituted the Buildout Reduction Program Citizens Committee, the general purpose of which was to review and update the original BRP, determine practical funding sources for retiring and maintaining undeveloped parcels and report the Committee's recommendations to the CCSD Board of Directors for consideration.

The Committee conducted business in regularly noticed public meetings on a bi-weekly basis. Occasionally, representatives of the San Luis Obispo County Planning Department, SLOCLC and members of the public participated in the meetings. Ad hoc subcommittees were formed to gather and present data for review and discussion at the Committee's meetings. Written agenda and minutes of each meeting are available on the CCSD's official website.

DESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL BUILDOUT

As discussed above, the CCSD Water Master Plan caps the number of residential water connections within Cambria's urban boundaries at 4,650. Currently the CCSD serves 3,766 residential connections. As a result, the WMP allows for a capped maximum of 884 additional residential connections. The following table summarizes existing connections and commitments:

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------------|-----------|-------|----------------------|
| | | Outstanding | | | |
| | Active | Intent to Serve | Wait List | Total | |
| Residential | | | | | |
| Single Family Residence | 3631 | 4 | 666 | 4301 | |
| Multi-family Residence | 135 | 3 | 11 | 149 | |
| Grandfathered | | | 36 | 36 | |
| Committed Affordable Housing Ordinance | | | 56 | 56 | CCSD 8.04.110 |
| Subtotal | 3766 | 7 | 769 | 4542 | |
| Commercial | | | | | |
| Lodging | 47 | 1 | | 48 | |
| Other Commercial | 184 | 2 | 10 | 196 | |
| Subtotal | 231 | 3 | 10 | 244 | |
| Approximate Commercial EDUs | | | | 1448 | Exceeds 20% of total |
| CCSD Accounts | 22 | | | 22 | |

Residential connections include all single-family homes, whether occupied by permanent residents or weekenders, vacation rentals, and multi-family residences. Multi-family housing and low-income housing require only one water meter per dwelling unit.

All told, the CCSD has made commitments for 769 new non-commercial water connections. The largest category of unserved commitments is the CCSD water wait list, comprised of 666 **[NEED TO ADJUST TO 665?]** properties. In addition to the CCSD commitments itemized above, the County maintains a waiting list for potential building permits in Cambria. The

purpose of the County list is unclear, since it does not have authority to provide water and sewer connections and cannot issue a building permit to any property owner who does not have an intent to serve letter from the CCSD. Therefore, in discharging its charge to update the BRP based on the WMP cap on residential water connections the committee has disregarded the County waiting list for potential building permits.

At present, the County has assigned a growth rate of 0% to Cambria in recognition of the community's persistent water emergency. The committee anticipates that once the water emergency is resolved, the County will increase the growth rate to 1%. At that rate, development of existing commitments could occur at a rate of 38 new homes per year perhaps rising to 45 new homes per year over a period of approximately twenty years. At the conclusion of this twenty year period, the number of water connections would be capped at 4,560 and there would be no further growth within the CCSD urban boundary.

There are potentially 115 service connections not committed under the 4,650 cap. At present, the CCSD does not have a plan for how those connections would be allocated, if at all. However, some of these additional service connections may be used for multi-family and low-income housing. But whatever the purpose, the committee recommends the CCSD develop a policy covering unallocated and uncommitted water positions within the 4,650 cap.

To complete the picture of potential water uses within Cambria's urban boundaries, commercial connections must also be considered. Consistent with the mandates of the Coastal Act, the North Coast Area Plan requires that visitor-serving purposes be given priority over residential purposes. As a result, the CCSD has committed at least 20% of its water service to commercial accounts, the majority of which comprise visitor-serving businesses, such as lodging and restaurants.

IDENTIFICATION OF UNDEVELOPED PROPERTY SUBJECT TO RETIREMENT

A file of all vacant Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) within Cambria was prepared by the San Luis Obispo County Building and Planning Department, compiled from the County Assessor's records. The file was prepared as of July 13, 2016 and served as the primary database for the committee's work in identifying undeveloped parcels subject to retirement. The gross number of undeveloped parcels within the CCSD urban boundary identified on the County Assessor's database is 2,235 parcels.

Preliminarily, it is noteworthy that the original 2006 Buildout Reduction Program Report applied to parcels with a minimum of 50' of street frontage, because it was assumed that properties with less frontage did not qualify under CCSD regulations to receive water and sewer connections. The committee elected to expand its consideration and include all undeveloped properties in the BRP regardless of minimum street frontage for two reasons. First, County policy provides that building permits will generally be issued on lots with less than 50' of frontage; and, second, ordinances against building on small properties could be viewed as regulatory taking in the same regard as other limitations on development.

To determine the net number of undeveloped parcels subject to retirement under the BRP, the committee from the County Assessor's gross list of 2,235 undeveloped parcels all APNs that are not subject to the retirement program, such as parcels on the water wait list, grandfathered parcels and parcels that are owned by public or private organizations that may not be developed. The table below summarizes adjustments made to the base report to arrive at the net number of undeveloped residential APNs subject to the Buildout Reduction Program. It should be noted that the net retirement list includes those APNs within Special Districts 1 and 2 which were excluded from the 2006 BRP Report. Therefore, the BRP applies to 1,377 undeveloped residential APNs.

Some of the 1,377 undeveloped APNs may be subject to deed restrictions or easements. Verifying the status of any restrictions will be necessary prior to any offer to purchase property pursuant to the BRP. The committee is also aware that some of the properties owned by the CCSD, the County, and conservancies may not be subject to any sort of development limit. The committee recommends that the BRP Administrator encourage these entities to formally retire their properties with suitable recorded restrictions or easements.

| Total Vacant Parcels | <u>Total</u> 2,235 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Less: | |
| Greenspace | 24 |
| CCSD | 142 |
| County of San Luis Obispo | 9 |
| Kingston Bay | 7 |
| Presbyterian Church | 5 |
| Wait List (666) | 652 |
| Grand Fathered Meters | 10 |
| Letters of Intent | 3 |
| | |
| HOA and Common Areas | 6 |
| | 858 |
| Total Vacant Parcels Remaining | 1,377 |
| <u> </u> | |

Summary of Undeveloped APNs

Therefore, if the CCSD adopts and implements the updated BRP, population growth will be capped at 4,650 residential water connections and 1,377 undeveloped parcels retired.

ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY

To determine economic feasibility of the BRP, the Committee scoped the costs of both the acquisition and maintenance phases of the program.

• The Acquisition Phase

Before discussing the committee's methodologies for valuing undeveloped parcels it is important to recognize that the valuation information discussed below is not intended as an exact forecast of program cost. It is virtually impossible to precisely estimate the cost of the program for two reasons. First, projecting real estate values over the course of twenty or more years into the future is uncertain, at best. Second, valuing all undeveloped APNs subject to the program assumes that all such parcels will be retired through purchase. The Committee expects that substantial parcel retirements will be achieved, at little or no expense to the CCSD, through continued lot mergers, donations and encouraging owners to place conservation or other restrictive easements on properties not intended for development.

Two valuation methodologies were selected to determine the economic feasibility of the acquisition phase of the BRP. The first method was to use the County Assessor's property tax valuation to value each APN. The valuations are based on the original purchase price, subject to annual adjustments and to valuation reductions recognized by sales of like properties within the area. The chart below reflects the Assessor's valuations for the 1,377 residential lots subject to retirement under the BRP. According to the assessed valuation method the current value of undeveloped APNs is approximately \$30M.

| VALUATION RANGE | NUMBER OI APN's WITHI RANGE | | TOTAL ASSESSME VALUATIO | NT | AVERAGE APN VALUATION | TOT ACR | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------------|------|
| \$ 0 - \$5,000 | 311 23 | % \$ 1, | 267,858 | 4% | \$ 4,077 | 23.4 | 14% |
| \$5,001 - \$10,000 | 408 30 | % \$ 3, | ,152,849 | 11% | \$ 7,728 | 38.3 | 23% |
| \$10,001 - \$15,000 | 165 12 | % \$ 2, | ,011,575 | 7% | \$ 12,191 | 21.6 | 13% |
| \$15,001 - \$25,000 | 200 15 | % \$ 3, | ,883,153 | 13% | \$ 19,416 | 27.5 | 17% |
| \$25,001 - \$50,000 | 175 13 | % \$ 5, | ,981,459 | 20% | \$ 34,180 | 22.1 | 13% |
| \$50,001 - \$100,000 | 79 6 ⁰ | 6 \$ 5, | 571,131 | 19% | \$ 70,521 | 9.6 | 6% |
| \$100,001 - \$737,000 | 39 39 | ó\$7, | ,855,113 | 26% | \$201,413 | 22.1 | 13% |
| | 1,377 100 | % \$ 29 | ,723,138 | 100% | \$349,525 | 164.4 | 100% |

SUMMARY OF SLO COUNTY ASSESSOR'S VACANT LOT VALUATIONS

The second valuation methodology was to obtain input from local realtors and historical Multi-Listing Service sales data. The committee considered sales of undeveloped parcels in Cambria within the last three years, adjusting to cull sales of large value APNs that likely enjoyed a water wait list position or other factors placing the parcel beyond the reach of the BRP. Using this market based method yielded a valuation of approximately \$34M which is slightly higher than, but in line with, the assessed valuation method discussed above.

Based on the foregoing assessed and market based valuations, the Committee is satisfied that acquisition phase of the BRP is economically feasible.

• The Maintenance Phase

To scope the costs necessary to maintain undeveloped parcels acquired by the CCSD under the BRP the committee reviewed and assessed current CCSD budget information. The 2016/17 CCSD Budget includes \$22,000 for outside contractors for weed abatement and removal of dead and dying trees on vacant parcels owned by the CCSD that are within the Fire Department's FHFR Program. In addition, the CCSD estimates that the Facilities and Resources Department spends about 10% of Staff time managing all parcels owned by the CCSD including performing additional weed abatement and tree removal (from parcels not included in the FHFR Program), illegal dumping and clearing homeless encampments. The fully loaded cost for this additional Staff time is \$34,100. Therefore, the total cost to physically maintain vacant parcels owned by the CCSD is approximately \$56,100. This data is consistent on a per parcel basis with historical maintenance expenses reported by the Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County.

The CCSD pays real property taxes on a small number of vacant parcels not used for public purposes. The amount of these taxes is minimal, approximately \$600. It is unlikely that parcels acquired under the BRP will be taxable to the CCSD but for the sake of erring on the high side, the committee makes proportionate allowance for property taxes as an additional maintenance cost for vacant parcels.

In summary, the total estimated maintenance cost including physical maintenance and possible property taxes for the 142 vacant parcels owned and maintained by the CCSD is \$56,700 or about \$400 per year per parcel. Assuming the mix as to size and topography of the parcels to be acquired under the BRP is representative of the mix of parcels currently owned by the CCSD and without considering any efficiencies of scale that may be achieved by adding a substantial number of additional undeveloped parcels under the BRP, a conservative estimate of the annual maintenance expense for 1,377 vacant parcels in 2017 dollars would be approximately \$550,000.

Based on the foregoing information and analysis, the committee is satisfied that it is economically feasible for the CCSD to acquire and maintain the undeveloped parcels under the BRP.

PRICING AND ADMINISTRATION OF REAL PROPERTY PURCHASES

The original 2006 BRP Report recommended that purchases of undeveloped parcels under the program be priced based on individual negotiations between each seller and the CCSD. This "negotiated price" approach necessarily requires that the CCSD either establish a real estate unit to administer the program or outsource that function for a fee. In addition, the original report calculated the total estimated cost of the program based on valuation assumptions relating to vacant parcels projected twenty or more years into the future. The committee recommends a

different approach to pricing which we believe is simpler, less expensive to administer and more accurately projects the cost of the program by tying such cost to proposed core funding streams described in the next section below.

The committee recommends that the CCSD establish a standard per square footage amount for all undeveloped parcels to be purchased under the program which would then become the standard and uniform purchase price offered to interested sellers. The square footage amount would be based on the estimated revenue generated from the three proposed core funding streams (making allowance for parcel maintenance) divided by the total square footage of vacant lots subject to the program, adjusted for parcels that the District estimates will be acquired or restricted by means other than purchase. The advantage of this approach is that it assures the cost of the program would not exceed revenues from the three proposed core funding streams and, since this approach eliminates individual price negotiations, appraisals, etc., the administrative expense of the program should be reduced.

Some may criticize this approach on the basis that it does not assure retirement of all vacant parcels subject to the program. However, because the CCSD does not possess eminent domain authority, the District must implement any buildout reduction program through voluntary means; thus, no program can guarantee full performance. Further, even if the BRP ultimately is unable to acquire all vacant parcels subject to the program, committing to establish the three core funding streams dedicated to retiring and maintaining vacant parcels will greatly reduce risks associated with the status quo while assuring that Cambria retains its unique beauty and culture.

Because the implementation of any buildout reduction program that contemplates setting prices for the purchase of real property involves complex legal issues, we recommend that the CCSD consult legal counsel concerning the manner and means of implementing the program.

FUNDING THE BUILDOUT REDUCTION PROGRAM

• Spreading the Cost Among Cambria's Primary Stakeholders in and Beneficiaries of the BRP.

Cambria is a unique community that has a desirable combination of stunning land and ocean vistas, plentiful habitat and mindful conservation of those attributes. Although not always easy, the community strives to protect and maintain these elements while recognizing the consequence for its residents and business community. There is a strong common interest in addressing the issues which often surface when considering population growth, even in modest proportions, as well as buildout reduction.

Sustaining a Buildout Reduction Program for Cambria requires the commitment from a wide range of stakeholders with varying needs. Acceptance of any community-wide program requires identification of shared values among those affected. Building community engagement and seeking diverse stakeholder commitment requires identification of economic, social, environmental and safety concerns.

The committee has identified three primary stakeholder groups that benefit from the Buildout Reduction Program:

- (1) **Wait List Position Holders:** The BRP is an integral part of the Water Master Plan which contemplates modest population growth to be capped at 4650 water connections. In recognition of the WMP and implementation of the BRP, property owners on the water wait list will be permitted over time to develop their properties because of the community's commitment to modest but capped population growth.
- (2) Existing Residents and Businesses: Retirement of undeveloped parcels benefit homeowners, renters and retail space owners/operators by providing additional open space, enhancing fire prevention and ensuring habitat preservation. Retention of substantial open space ensures the retention of Cambria's rural beauty and charm and enhances property values. At the same time, permitting modest population growth over time will enhance the CCSD revenue base to help meet operational and capital demands.
- (3) **Tourism:** The BRP promotes open space, natural beauty and rural charm which are features that attract tourists to Cambria and fuel the town's tourist trade.

The purchase, retirement and maintenance of properties requires the community of stakeholders and beneficiaries to share equitably in the financial burden. There is no silver bullet to address the financial need. Everyone benefits from the BRP in some form and no single stakeholder group should or will bear the encumbrance entirely. Rather, the committee has attempted to shape the BRP so that the financial burden is shared, as much as reasonable practicable, by everyone who enjoys or will enjoy Cambria's open space, natural beauty, small-town character and quality of life.

• Funding Mechanisms from the Three Primary Stakeholder Groups.

As discussed above, the committee identified three groups of stakeholders that will derive the primary benefits of the BRP – owners of properties on the water wait list, Cambria residents and businesses, and tourists. In developing the plan for funding, the committee considered methods to derive revenues from each of these groups. The revenues thus generated form the core of the BRP's resources. Numerous possible revenue streams were investigated, but most were rejected because of the complexities of raising taxes and public fees in California or, because they were less efficient as the methods adopted by the committee. Several of the rejected approaches are identified in Appendix I.

(1) Property Owners on the Water Wait List

The first recommended fundraising approach is to form a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District (CFD) consisting of the properties on the water wait list. Formation of such a district is

permitted under the Community Facilities Act of 1982. Implementation 1 will require approval by two-thirds of the property owners that would comprise the district. While this is a substantial hurdle, the committee believes CFD formation would be in the best interest of those on the water wait list, given the critical role the BRP will play in obtaining the regular operating permit for Cambria's SWF which would allow Cambria to resume modest residential development under the WMP to include all water wait list property owners.

Mechanically, once the CFD is established, the administrator would issue a bond that would be repaid from funds generated by fees charged to property owners in the CFD. The committee proposes raising \$10 million through this mechanism. The average assessment would depend on several assumptions:

- The interest rate on the bond would be 4%
- The fund balance would be invested in 10-year US Treasuries, currently yielding 2.3%
- When interest income and fees are paid into the Community Facilities District fund, they would be used first to pay interest expense and then for purposes of the BRP
- Therefore, the fund balance would always be \$10 million
- The assessment would average approximately \$21,500 per parcel on the Water Wait List.

Actual assessments would vary by property size and any modifications to the assumptions above. The committee recommends that the CFD agreement be drafted to allow property owners to pay fees either on a pro rata annual basis or in a lump sum within a year of becoming eligible for an intent-to-serve. In all cases, the full fee would have to be paid prior to repayment of the bond.

Assuming the CCSD is the CFD fund administrator, the committee recommends that the CCSD engage in outreach to the property owners on the wait list to solicit viewpoints and recommendations for structuring and implementing a CFD before drafting a final plan for a vote.

The CCSD may also want to explore the possibility of asking the County to implement Mitigation Fees (see Appendix__) as an alternative, although, in the judgement of the committee such an approach is unlikely to yield sufficient funds and may involve substantial legal impediments and practical complexities.

(2) Current Residents and Businesses

The second recommended core revenue mechanism is to assess a parcel tax of \$100 per developed parcel, both residential and commercial, within the urban boundary annually for a period of 25 years. Such a parcel tax would require approval by two-thirds of the community's registered voters. The income generated by such a tax would be approximately \$400,000 per year, or \$10 million over a 25-year period.

(3) Tourists and the Tourist Trade

The third recommended core revenue stream to fund the BRP taps into the substantial number of tourists who enjoy, among other local attractions, Cambria's natural beauty, open space and quaint small-town charm. The recommended means to establish this tourist based revenue

stream is to levy an assessment on each proprietor of a lodging business, existing and future, located within CCSD's urban boundary. The recommended assessment is one half of one percent (.5%) of the paid rent charged by the lodging operator per occupied room per night for all transient occupations. Based on normal tourist trade in Cambria, this assessment should yield approximately \$200,000 per year.

There are two alternative legislative means to create the assessment. Both require action by the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors. The first would be to amend the current Tourism Business Improvement District (TBID) Ordinance of San Luis Obispo County to create a separate benefit zone for Cambria and then levy an additional .5% assessment on lodging operators within the town. The state legislation authorizing TBIDs expressly provides for such separate local benefit zones.

The second alternative means to create the assessment is for the County Board of Supervisors to leave the existing ordinance in place but enact a separate ordinance creating a new TBID limited to lodging operators within CCSD's urban boundary and levy the .5% assessment on all such local operators. Under either legislative means, Cambria's lodging operators could, as they do now under the existing TBID ordinance, pass through the assessment to their transient renters as part of their lodging bill. Thus, the town's tourists would pay a fair share of the cost to preserve Cambria's environmental beauty and cultural charm through the Buildout Reduction Plan.

The proposed tourist based revenue stream has several advantages. Raising the TBID fee: accomplishes part of the goal to share the cost of the BRP among stakeholders, specifically tourists who enjoy Cambria's beauty, open space and charm; a TBID fee already applies to local lodging operators and the proposed fee increase is relative small; and, under the state enabling statute and the existing local ordinance, and the funds generated by the TBID fee must be used for the purposes designated in the legislation—to fund the BRP and for no other purpose. To assure success, the committee recommends that the CCSD and County reach out to local lodging operators in advance of introducing legislation in order to discuss the assessment, its purpose and importance to the continued viability of Cambria as a tourist destination.

SECONDARY MEANS TO RETIRE UNDEVELOPED PARCELS

In addition to purchasing undeveloped parcels with funds generated from the three core revenue streams, the committee recommends that the CCSD support a robust program to retire parcels through the secondary means discussed below. Support of these secondary means of funding will accelerate retirement of parcels pursuant to the BRP while reducing the overall cost of the program.

Voluntary Parcel Merger

Voluntary merger of parcels is a way to legally join contiguous parcels together into one parcel. Mergers can be an effective way to construct a home over a lot line, adjust setback requirements, or create a desirable buffer zone or view-shed. The initial 2006 BRP recommended voluntary parcel mergers pursuant to which the CCSD implemented a voluntary Lot Merger Program to reimburse property owners who wish to merge adjacent parcels up to \$800 of expenses related to the merger transaction. The program benefits the CCSD by retiring parcels at relatively low cost and with no ongoing maintenance expense. So far, the voluntary merger program has been very successful; as noted earlier, through June 2016 the CCSD has sponsored 298 merger transactions resulting in 327 fewer lots for development. The committee recommends that the CCSD continue the voluntary merger program and consider budgeting BRP funds to market and promote the program to owners of adjacent properties.

The committee also recommends that as a condition of receiving public funds, owners who merge their parcels under the program be required to place restrictive easements or other means of restriction on the resulting parcel(s) so they may not subsequently be subdivided to permit construction of additional residential units. Placing such restrictions on their undeveloped merged parcels would not interfere with the owner's intended use of the property and may reduce their overall property taxes.⁴ To maintain the effectiveness of the Lot Merger Program, the County should maintain a policy of discouraging future subdivision of previously merged parcels and require that mergers receiving public funding be restricted to receive only one water connection for one residential unit.

Retain Ownership with a Conservation Easement

A conservation easement is a voluntary legal agreement between a landowner and a land trust or government agency that permanently limits uses of the land in order to protect its conservation value. Property owners who do not wish to, or cannot, build on their land may for tax or other reasons wish to place a conservation easement on their property.

Following are excerpts from "Guide To Conservation Easements," from The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County:

- Estate Taxes: Conservation easements may help reduce estate taxes by excluding the easement value from the taxable value of the estate. This can help a family pass land to the next generation without having to sell, subdivide or develop their family's land to pay for the estate taxes. The Federal Taxpayers Relief Act of 1997 allows beneficiaries to exclude from the taxable estate up to 40% of the value of land for qualifying conservation easements subject to limits.
- Income Taxes: The landowner may be able to take an income tax deduction if the easement is permanent and donated as a bona fide charitable contribution.
- Property Taxes: The property taxes could be significantly lowered once the easement is placed on the property, however if the landowner's basis in the property is lower than the easement value, there may be no property tax reduction realized.

⁴ Nothing contained in this report or in any action by the CCSD pursuant to this report should be considered as a tax opinion or tax advice. Property owners should consult their own tax professionals to determine the tax consequences of actions taken.

- Capital Gains Tax: Selling an easement is considered as a sale of a capital asset and is treated as capital gain to the extent that the proceeds exceed the basis in the property. The sale of an easement may qualify for a 1031 "in-kind" exchange to defer capital gains taxes while allowing the property owner to acquire additional property.
- For a cost example [CHECK SOURCE], the Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo (LCSLO) requests a donation for each conservation easement it acquires. The amount can vary, but it is usually 3% of the easement value for purchased easements, and a minimum of \$10,000 for donated easements. For the property owner, there are potential costs for appraisal, surveying, lot maintenance, legal counsel, County filing fees (e.g., Clerk Recorder \$7), and property taxes. No permit is required.

The committee recommends that the CCSD consider budgeting BRP funds to promote and market the availability of conservation easements to owners of undeveloped parcels.

Sale or Gift to LCSLOC for the TDC Program

Selling or donating a parcel to LCSLOC achieves lot retirement in a special way. Since 2008, LCSLOC has been a vital and successful part of Cambria's habitat conservation through, among other activities, its participation in the Transfer of Development Credit Program (TDC).

Every property acquired by LCSLOC accumulates a development rights credit that is stored in a virtual bank. Money is generated through the sale of these credits to owners of small residential properties in exchange for the right to increase (build) the existing gross structural area or footprint by an additional 100 to 400 sq. ft. (depending on lot size). The committee recommends that the CCSD continue to participate fully in the TDC program including accepting title to properties with conservation easements tendered by the LCSLOC.

Water Meter Transfers

CCSD Municipal Code Section 8.04.100 requires that when a water meter or water wait list position is transferred from one parcel to another, the sending parcel must be retired by recording a deed restriction, and the receiving parcels are merged. It is recommended that this requirement be continued. To encourage preservation of important forest and habitat resources, an additional incentive is recommended if the retired parcel has been designated "high conservation value" by the LCSLOC Vacant Parcel Assessment Report.

Public and Private Grants

The committee recommends that the CCSD establish a disciplined program to supplement funding for the BRP through pursuing public and private grants. While grant funding is not predictable and thus cannot be a steady or reliable core funding mechanism for the BRP, there

are sufficient public and private grants which periodically become available to assume some of the economic burden of the BRP.⁵

Several grant programs currently exist to preserve and protect forestlands, open space and wildlife habitats and potentially may be available to supplement funding of acquisition and/or maintenance costs of the BRP. These grants are administered by federal and state agencies as well as private corporations, foundations and other NGOs. Most of the grant programs, especially those that are funded by public agencies, provide grants on a nonrecurring annual basis. In addition, the availability of grants is dynamic in the sense that each year existing grant programs terminate or expire while new programs are created. Nearly all governmental grant programs require some manner of baseline report to accompany the grant application and, after the grant is issued, continuing compliance monitoring and reporting. Therefore, to take full advantage of grant funding on an ongoing basis, the CCSD must establish a permanent grant function, with expertise in grant writing and compliance.

Grant funding may be available to supplement revenue to accomplish several aspects of the BRP including: the outright purchase of vacant land; acquisition costs, including direct payments to lot owners and processing fees and expenses, incentive payments to place conservation and other restrictive easements on privately held land; and, routine maintenance such as weed abatement as well as extraordinary expense such as tree removal and other forest management activities.

OTHER ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The committee also considered potential adverse impacts of the BRP, specifically what would be the fiscal impact of removing \$30 million of property from the tax base? On the assumption that water wait list properties will be developed as other undeveloped properties are retired, the committee expects the tax base will remain stable if not increase. Dividing the approximate \$30 million reduction by 665 (the number of wait list positions), the average increase in property value of new development would only need to exceed \$45,000 to achieve break even. Therefore, as development of these water wait list parcels proceeds, the increased taxes should offset fiscal impact of removing undeveloped parcels from the tax base.

ORGANIATIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

• The CCSD is the lead agency for the 2008 Water Master Plan and the current Sustainable Water Facility project. In accordance with Mitigation Measure PHG-1 for the Water Master Plan the CCSD has the ultimate responsibility to ensure funding and administration to retire and maintain undeveloped parcels as required by the Buildout Reduction Program. As a cautionary preliminary step, the committee recommends the CCSD activate its power to acquire and maintain property as mitigation for a district project in accordance with Govt Codes 61000 to 61250.

⁵ The 2006 BRP Report determined that there were no grant programs available to fund buildout reduction. While that conclusion was, and remains, accurate there are several grant programs that provide funds to support ultimate objectives of the BRP such as forest, open space and habitat preservation, etc.

• While, as noted above, the CCSD has ultimate responsibility for the BRP, it can delegate specified BRP administrative tasks to other appropriate agencies or organizations. The processing of property purchases could be contracted to a land conservation organization. These organizations have experience in contacting potential sellers, negotiation of the terms of sale, recording title and deed restrictions to retire and conserve the parcels and monitoring compliance with deed restrictions and conservation easements. The committee recommends that the CCSD consider the costs and benefits of outsourcing the administration of the BRP to an existing land conservation organization versus administrating the program in-house. In this connection, the committee considered whether to recommend the creation of an open space district to assume some or all BRP responsibilities to be delegated from the CCSD. The committee concluded that, at this time, creating such an open space district, which requires 5,000 supporting signatures, is not feasible.

• The committee recommends that the CCSD produce an annual report on the progress of the BRP including a financial report, the number of undeveloped parcels retired under the program, the number of undeveloped parcels on which deed restrictions or restrictive easements have been placed and an annual inspection documenting compliance with all deed restrictions.

• The committee recommends that a maintenance advisory board be established to provide forest management expertise and oversight. The CCSD PROS Commission could be tasked with this function or, alternatively, the CCSD could request the Friends of the Fiscalini Ranch Preserve Board to assume this function.

FUTURE ROLE FOR THE BUILDOUT REDUCTION PROGRAM CITIZEN'S COMMITTEE

To help assure that the BRP is used to aggressively retire undeveloped parcels in Cambria while remaining fiscally viable, it is recommended that the committee remain in place in an oversight function as a standing committee. The standing committee would review and report annually to the public on the progress of the BRP. In addition, the standing committee would recommend, as appropriate, modifications to the BRP in response to changing conditions and new demands.

The committee recommends that the new standing committee be appointed by the CCSD Board in a size and for terms as the Board deems reasonable. The appointees should represent a cross-section of Cambria's general population, including but not limited to the stakeholders in the BRP.

CONCLUSION

APPENDIX I REVENUE STREAMS CONSIDERED BUT NOT ADOPTED

The Committee considered but did not adopt a wide variety of potential revenue streams to fund, in whole or in part, the BRP. These revenue streams were not selected for several reasons: some were invalid under current law while others were impractical. In some instances, the Committee concluded that the rejected revenue stream duplicated core funding mechanisms and the core funding better tapped the specific stakeholder class. Discussed below are the more significant examples of revenue streams that the Committee considered but did not adopt.

- (1) Increased Water Connection and Service Fees for Newly Constructed Homes. An obvious potential revenue stream would be to increase fees for newly constructed homes. The committee considered several such fees from increased water service rates for new homes to increased connection fees to increased fees for the issuance of intent to serve letters. Each of these methods shared a common flaw; such funding is unlawful under state law if revenue exceeds that reasonably necessary to provide the service or facility that is the subject of the fee. Therefore, while the District may increase water rates or connection fees, it may not do so to fund the purchase or maintenance of vacant parcels under the BRP. The committee's recommendation not to impose increased water rates or connection fees to fund the BRP should not be interpreted as discouraging increased rates or fees for other lawful purposes, as may be necessary or appropriate.
- (2) Mitigation Fees. The committee considered mitigation fees, sometimes referred to as development impact fees, under California Government Code sections 66000-66025 for both new home development and substantial home remodels. Mitigation fees are fees imposed on developers to defray all or a portion of the cost of public facilities related to a development project. We do not recommend adopting mitigation fees as a means to fund the BRP because implementation is not within the control of the CCSD and because of other complexities. First, the agency imposing the fee must have land use authority and the CCSD, by statute, does not and cannot have land use authority. Secondly, even if the District did possess land use authority, a mitigation fee must be connected to a legitimate government interest and proportional to the impact on that interest created by the development. In light of these narrow criteria, the committee felt the imposition of a mitigation fee may spawn unnecessary and costly litigation for the District. Finally, the committee believes that the creation of a Mello Roos District encompassing property owners on the Water Wait List who will be permitted to build new residences on their property is a better and more efficient way to have the new builder class of stakeholders participate in funding the BRP.

The committee also considered special mitigation fees under California's Quimby Act. We rejected this funding mechanism because the Quimby Act provides for the dedication of property or payment of fees by a developer of a subdivision for park or recreational purposes only. Therefore, Quimby Act fees are too narrowly focused and cannot be used to fund the BRP.

- (3) Expansion of TDC Program Fees. The committee considered proposing an expansion of the current TDC Program such that TDC fees would apply from the first square foot of construction and not just to the marginal square footage purchased with TDC credits. While this proposal would generate significant revenues, more than required to fund the entire BRP, such funding would likely be determined to be a disguised mitigation fee subject to the same flaws described in item (2) above.
- (4) Local Sales and Bed Tax Increases. The committee also considered increasing the local sales tax and bed tax⁶ as additional revenue streams to fund the BRP. The County imposes these two taxes and they may not be imposed by the CCSD or any other special district. Additionally, these taxes must be imposed only on a county-wide basis. The committee rejected the local sales tax and bed tax to fund the BRP because it is impractical that residents of San Luis Obispo County would vote to increase such taxes to benefit primarily Cambria.
- (5) Public Private Partnerships. Many municipalities throughout the United States have formed public private partnerships to develop public facilities with private funding. An example would be the construction of a new public school with a connected retail and/or residential component that operates independently of the school and for profit. The developer of the commercial component would also fund all or part of the cost to construct the connected school as *quid pro quo* for receiving permits and perhaps tax incentives for the entire project. The committee rejected funding through public private partnerships because, as a practical matter, Cambria does not have a commercial base sufficient to attract business enterprises with either the motivation or capitalization to participate in a public private partnership sufficient to fund the BRP.
- (6) Sale of Water Meter Connections to New Home Builders not on the Water Wait List. The committee revisited the sale of water meter connections at "market rates" to new home builders not on the water wait list and recommends against including this revenue stream as a mechanism to fund the BRP. The committee's recommendation is based on the likelihood that selling such connections to non-wait list purchasers essentially allows these purchasers to leap frog the water wait list exposing the District to potential litigation and possible liability to water wait listed property owners who have paid fees and property taxes for years to secure their place in line to build on their property.

⁶ The bed tax, which is a tax the County imposes on transient occupancy for the general fund, must be distinguished from the tourism business improvement district (TBID) fee, which is a fee imposed by the tourism business improvement district on hotels, motels, etc., for special purposes related to the lodging industry. As discussed above, the Committee recommends increasing the TBID fee as a core funding stream for the BRP.

(7) CCSD to Purchase Small Parcels and Combine them for Resale. The committee considered a suggestion by one member of the public that the CCSD form a non-profit public benefit special purpose entity which would purchase smaller contiguous parcels, combine them into larger parcels and sell the larger parcels for a profit for development. The proposal contemplates that the purchaser of the larger parcels would receive expedited treatment with respect to entitlements and permitting. The committee rejected this suggestion for several reasons. First, it is legally questionable whether the CCSD can engage in a proprietary function of purchasing and selling real property for profit. Second, management of the BRP will be complex enough without requiring the CCSD to take on the added role of real estate trader. Third, the viability of the proposal depends on the CCSD realizing net profits from the transactions sufficient generate income to fund other aspects of the program which is speculative.

APPENDIX II

Definition of Terms (DRAFT)

| Account (CCSD) | Established CCSD customer identification and billing mechanism |
|---|--|
| American Land Conservancy | The non-profit land conservancy that assisted in the purchase of the Fiscalini Ranch Preserve |
| Assessors Parcel Number (APN) | An eight digit number assigned by San Luis Obispo County Assessors Office as the legal parcel description used for recording and tax purposes |
| Brown Act | Enacted in 1953, the act guarantees the public's right to attend and participate in meetings of local legislative bodies. Permissible closed meetings are defined. |
| Buildable Lot | An APN (parcel) which falls within the size and elevation parameters established by SLO County Planning and Building. Possible additional parameters may apply as defined by the CCSD within the Cambria Urban Area. |
| California Coastal Act | A 1976 environmental law which seeks to balance the right to develop with strong policies to protect resources. It is comprised of standards used by the Coastal Commission in review of coastal development permits and Local Coastal Programs. Seven articles govern all development along the coast and mandate protection of public access, recreational opportunities, and marine and land resources. |
| California Coastal Commission | The CCC is a state agency which plans and regulates the use of land and water in the coastal zone. Established in 1972, it was made permanent by the Legislature through the Coastal Act in 1976. The policies of the California Coastal Act constitute the statutory standards applied to planning and regulatory decisions of the commission. |
| California Coastal Conservancy | State agency in California established in 1976 to enhance coastal resources and access |
| California Environmental Quality Act | A California law enactedin 1969 that requires public agencies in the state to evaluate environmental impacts of proposed projects. The act includes a public review period allowing the public and interested agencies time to comment on the project and potential impact. |

| Cambria Land Trust | A non-profit organization established 1988 to educate, advocate and protect the ecological system, cultural resources and marine habitats of the North Coast of SLO County |
|---|---|
| Community Facility District | A special district (Geographic Area) established as a means of obtaining additional public funding, through the sale of bonds. Also known as Mello-Roos District. |
| Community Services District | The generic title for a special district (geographic area) established to provide services, such as water and wastewater services and various government services, to a community. |
| Connection Fee/Hook Up Fee | Fee payable to the CCSD to initiate water and sewer hookup for construction; prior satisfaction of Intent to Serve Letter requirements needed |
| Conservation Easement | A legal agreement between a landowner and a land trust or government agency that permanently limits uses of the land in order to protect its conservation values. The landowner many continue to own and use the land, sell it, donate it or pass it on to heirs subject to the restriction of the easement. A conservation easement is in perpetuity. |
| Consolidation Program (APNs) | Program to encourage owners of contiguous lots recorded under multiple APN's to consolidate those lots under a single APN designation through the Assessor's Office. |
| County Assessors Office (SLO) | County office which maintains legal property description, the associated APN identity, assesses and collects land taxes. |
| Deed Restriction | Deed restrictions are private agreements that restrict the use of real estate in some way, and are listed in the deed. A seller may add a restriction to the title of property. |
| Emanate Domain Authority | The right of government to take private property for public use. CCSD does not possess this authority. |
| Emergency Water Facility | A brackish water facility brought on line January 2015 to recharge the San Simeon well field aquifer with advance treated water, in order to avoid projected draught enduced water supply shortages, prevent seawater intrusion into fresh water streams/aquifer and protect well pumps from losing suction. |
| Environmental Impact Report | A study of all the factors which a land development or construction project would have on the environment in the area, including population, traffic, schools, fire protection, endangered species, archeological artifacts, and community beauty. |
| Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report | The Final SEIR for the Cambria Sustainable Water Facility Project (SWF), prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), was certified July 2017. This SEIR tiers from the CCSD Water Master Plan EIR which was certified in 2008. |

| Grandfathered Meter | A water meter connection fee that was paid or a water meter that was physically installed prior to 2/28/86, but is not currently in use, and pays minimum bi-monthly service fees to maintain that status. |
|--|---|
| Growth Management Ordinance | A San Luis Obispo County ordinance that meters the number of residential dwelling units that can be built in the unincorporated areas of the county during any one fiscal year. |
| Greenspace | See Cambria Land Trust |
| Hard Cap | Development cap for the Cambrian urban boundaries, established by the 2008 Water Master Plan |
| Impact Fee | A fee imposed by local government on new development to pay for all or a position of the costs of providing public services. |
| Intent to Serve (Letter) | A letter stating the District (CCSD) will provide water and sewer services to a particular project upon satisfactory completion of a number of steps, timely payment of fees and availability of water. |
| Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo (LCSLO) | The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County is a non- profit organization that works to permanently protect and enhance lands having important scenic, agricultural, habitat and cultural values for the benefit of people and wildlife. |
| Land Use Authority | Regulation of growth and development through statutory law by federal state and local governments. Cambria is an unincorporated community. Land use Authority is held at the County level. |
| Lot | The basic element of the Cambria Subdivision Map |
| Mello-Roos District | A Community Facilities District (CFD), which is a special district (geographic area) established as a means of obtaining additional public funding, through the sale of bonds. Mello and Roos were authors of the legislation. |
| Mello-Roos Tax | A parcel (property) tax which is not based on the assessed value of real property, but rather on the payment of principal and interest on a bond established within a Community Services District. |
| Mitigation Fee Act | Enacted in 1987, Government Code 66000, defines the requirements for development impact fee programs, and establishes the criteria of proportionality in both nature and extent |
| Mixed Use | A type of urban development that blends residential, commercial, cultural, institutional or industrial uses. |

| Non-buildable Lot | An APN parcel which falls outside the size and elevation parameters established by SLO County Planning and Building. Possible additional parameters may apply as defined by the CCSD within the Cambria Urban Area. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| North Coast Area Plan | Plan developed by the County of San Luis Obispo which provides information on population, land use, availability of resources and public services and environmental characteristics. It describes county land use policies for the North Coast Planning Area, including regulations which are also adopted as part of the Land Use Ordinances and Local Coastal Program. |
| Open Space District | Local public agencies that acquire and maintain land or interests in land from willing sellers to protect open space. |
| Outstanding Service Commitment | See Grandfathered Meter |
| Parcel | Land with a legal description and associated Assessors Parcel Number, recorded through the county assessors office. A parcel may contain one or more lots. |
| Parcel Tax | A form of property tax assessed at a rate based on the characteristics of a parcel rather than the assessed value. It is considered a "qualified special tax" and requires a 2/3 voter approval. See discussions under Mello-Roos. |
| Program Level Environmental | Type of EIR allowed under the California Environmental |
| Impact Report | Quality Act (CEQA) that is used to evaluate a plan or |
| | program with multiple components that are related through application of rules or regulations, or as logical parts of a long-term plan. |
| Planning Department | San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building |
| Property(ies) | See definition of Parcel |
| Proposition 218 | An initiative constitutional amendment approved by California voters in 1996. It imposes the process and constraints for establishing and assessing special district taxes. |
| Restrictive Easement | The right to use the real property of another for a specific purpose. The easement is itself a real property interest, but legal title to the underlying land is retained by the original owner for all other purposes. |
| Retired Parcel (Lot) | A parcel which has been removed from availability to build which may or may not carry legal deed restrictions. |
| Special Districts | Basic form of local governments, special to the state of California. When residents or landowners want new services or higher levels of existing services, they can form a special district to pay for and administer them. |

| Special Projects Area 1 and 2 Subsequent Environmental | Areas of Cambria designated by SLO county and provided special resource protection. SPA 1 involves issues associated with the Monterey pine forest and forest habitat. SPA2 involves issues related to the area's visibility from HWY 1. Update of an original EIR during and resulting from project |
|---|--|
| Impact Report | maturation. |
| Sustainable Water Facility | Brackish water reclamation facility originally brought on line in January 2015 as an emergency facility. |
| Tourism Business Improvement District (TBID) | A district established at the SLO County level, assessing a tourism tax on businesses within the county. |
| Transfer Development Credits Program | The Land Conservancy of SLO acquires parcels, focusing on high conservation areas, through donation or purchase. Properties purchased by LCSLO accumulate a development right credit that is stored in a virtual bank. Money for additional purchases is generated through the sale of these Transfer Development Credits (TDC) to owners of small residential properties wanting to increase their properties within defined parameters, as well as those remodeling existing structures or establishing new structures. |
| Unallocated Water Connections | Water service connections not currently committed by the CCSD, which exist in addition to the water wait list but are within the 4,650 Water Master Plan build cap |
| Urban Water Master Plan | Cambrian local water management plan prepared in compliance with the Urban Water Management Planning Act, California Water Code. |
| Vacant Parcel | A parcel without improvement |
| Voluntary Merger Program | Program to encourage parcel owners of contiguous APN's to merge them into a single APN, effectively retiring those undeveloped parcels. |
| Water Meter (CCSD) | The mechanism of providing water and sewer services to CCSD parcel owners within the Cambria urban area. |
| Water Meter Transfer | A process of moving a water position from one parcel to another, where the sending parcel is retired from development. Water positions/meters are restricted in Special Areas 1 and 3. |
| Water Wait List (Position) | The CCSD maintain a list of water applicants, prioritized by date, who are waiting for the opportunity to build a project. Each wait list position is preserved by payment of an Annual Wait List Fee, which is billed in July of each year. |

APPENDIX III

| | 7/29/17 | Buildout Reduction Program Acronym List (DRAFT) | |
|--|---------|---|--|
|--|---------|---|--|

| APN | Assessor's Parcel Number |
|------------|--|
| BRP | Buildout Reduction Program |
| BRPCC | Buildout Reduction Program Citizens Committee |
| ССС | California Coastal Commission |
| CCSD | Cambria Community Services District |
| CFD | Community Facilities District (also known as Mello-Roos) |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act |
| EIR | Environmental Impact Report |
| EWS (ESWF) | Emergency Water Supply project |
| GMO | Growth Management Ordinance |
| GSA | Gross Structural Area |
| LCSCO | Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo |
| MFR | Multi-Family Residence |
| NCAP | North Coast Area Pan |
| PEIR | Program-Level Environmental Impact Report |
| RWQCB | Regional Water Quality Control Board |
| SEIR | Subsequent Environmental Impact Report |
| SFR | Single Family Residence |
| SLO | San Luis Obispo |
| SLOCLC | San Luis Obispo County Land Conservancy |
| SPA 1 & 2 | Special Project Areas 1 & 2 |
| SWF | Sustainable Water Facility |
| TDC | Transfer Development Credit |
| UWMP | Urban Water Master Plan |